

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,195	08/28/2001	Atarbes K. Gorman	BEAS-01069US1	5978
75	90 07/17/2003	•		-
Sheldon R. Meyer FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP Four Embarcadero Center Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4156			EXAMINER 1	
			CHARIOUI, MOHAMED	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-4156		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2857	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3,	Application N .	Applicant(s)		
	09/941,195	GORMAN, ATARBES K.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mohamed Charioui	2857		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	rresp ndence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	anuary 2002 .			
_	is action is non-final.			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers ○○○○○ The specification is objected to by the Examine				
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2001</u> is/are: 	_	utho Evominor		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, i i		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	Tod by the Examinor.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
.S. Patent and Trademark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant uses the expression JMX (Java Management Extensions) throughout the specification; Examiner notes that JMX is a trademark. The Applicant is required to amend the specification to acknowledge JMX as a trademark.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 14, line 18, the claim recites the limitation "said source". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: in page 16, line 1, the claim recites the limitation "wherein the interface". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8, 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step is the step that explains how the JMX monitors are being tested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenkins, IV et al.

As per claims 1, 3 and 8, Jenkins, IV et al. teach a generator adapted to generate a signal; a monitor adapted to monitor the signal (see Abstract and col. 5, lines 4-53); and a notifier adapted to generate a notification in response to the monitoring of the signal by the monitor (see col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 3).

As per claim 5, Jenkins, IV et al. further teach source is selected from the group consisting of data libraries, data files, application code, or user entry (see col. 5, lines 4-10)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2857

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins, IV et al. in view of Eckley et al.

Jenkins, IV et al. teach the system as stated above. Jenkins, IV et al. do not explicitly teach a listener for receiving the notification.

Eckley et al. teach this feature (see col. 3, lines 33-62). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Eckley et al.'s teaching into Jenkins, IV et al.'s invention because a listener is a submodule of the computer program that senses for a command and informs the module that a notification has been received so that an action can be performed. Therefore, a listener would receive the notification generated by the monitor and dynamically instantiate a configuration to fix any problem in response to the notification.

6. Claims 4, 6, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins, IV et al. in view of Raimi et al.

As per claims 6, 7, 10, 12 and 14, Jenkins, IV et al. teach the system as stated above except for a timer, adapted to control the time for testing.

Raimi et al. teach this feature (see col. 5, lines 3-20 and col. 10 line 51 to col. 11, line 13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Raimi et al.'s teaching into Jenkins, IV et al.'s invention because a timer would communicate the time corresponding to the speed path to the monitor code generator to generate a unique signal for each particular timing path. Therefore, the time output file would specify the speed paths of interest to the monitor and simulation would be more effective.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,195

Art Unit: 2857

As per claim 4, Jenkins, IV et al. teach the system as stated above except for a source of at least one equation to be used in generating the signal.

Raimi et al. teach this feature (see col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Raimi et al.'s teaching into Jenkins, IV et al.'s invention because test signal have a different characteristic such as the timing path. Therefore, an equation is needed to generate a particular test signal or test vector to exercise a particular path for efficient simulation.

7. Claims 9, 11, 13, 15-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raimi et al. in view of Kruger et al. (WO 00/77632).

As per claims 9, 11, 13, 15, 16 and 19-25 Raimi et al. teach a signal or code generator adapted to generate a signal or code; and a library of equations for use in the signal or code generator, each equation representing a signal capable of being generated by the signal or code generator (see col. 2, line 44 to col.3, line 22).

Raimi et al. do not specifically teach a generator MBean adapted to generate a signal.

Kruger et al. teaches this feature (see page 23, line 20 to page 25, line 37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kruger et al.'s teaching into Raimi et al.'s invention because a generator MBean would generate codes in high-level language Java that would be later converted into a combination of gates in a low level language to be used in computer

Application/Control Number: 09/941,195

Art Unit: 2857

simulation. Therefore, the signals generated from the generator MBean would be formulated according to the MBean codes to conduct the correct simulation.

As per claim 17, Raimi et al. further teach storing the testing values to a data store (see col. 3, lines 18-22).

Prior art

8. The prior art made record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Hattmann et al. ['342] disclose system and method for functional testing of distributed, component-based software.

Timbol ['135] discloses development system with visual design tools for creating and maintaining Java beana components.

Grucci et al. ['845] disclose concurrent execution and logging of a component test in an entroprise computer system.

Alcorn ['218] discloses method and apparatus for generating dips for use with Java.

Beohme et al. ['191] discloses method and apparatus for dynamic generation of adapters.

Ezekiel ['977] discloses data acquisition from a remote instrument via the Internet.

Silva et al. ['268] disclose distributed automated testing system.

Contact information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Mohamed Charioui whose telephone number is 703 605-4362. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday 9 am to 6 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,195

Art Unit: 2857

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached at 703 308-1677. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose number is 703 308-0956.

Mohamed Charioui

7/7/03

MARC S. HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7